

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/673,214 | 09/30/2003 | Norio Suzuki | 843.43178X00 | 9585 | |
| 20457 7 | 7590 10/31/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| ANTONELL | ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP | | | TOLEDO, FERNANDO L | |
| 1300 NORTH SUITE 1800 | SEVENTEENTH STRE | EET | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ADI DICTON VA 22200 2972 | | 2823 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | A | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/673,214 | SUZUKI ET AL. | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Fernando L. Toledo | 2823 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | · | | | |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does it | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), of | nendment which places the or (3) a timely filed Request for | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) ☑ No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T | The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the assi | gnee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a representation | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | ence rendered on and becaus ns. | e the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. Marca The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| Abandonment of the Application was confirmed on a October 2005. | a telephone call by Kelly Harris, N | Ir. Solomon's assistant on 28 | | | |
| | | George Fourson Primary Examiner | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20051028